

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,839		03/03/2004	Tim Nudo	2507	
39366	7590	07/12/2004		EXAM	INER
JAMES R. 7 2231 CRYST		IVE	WILSON, LEE D		
SUITE 105				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22202	3723		
				DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1W				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/790,839	NUDO, TIM	•				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LEE D WILSON	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a in the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel ITHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
and and dottalled office action for a list	or are confined copies flot	icosiveu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC))-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		3				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the support studs must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 10/790,839 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

1. Claims 3, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

a. The support studs are not disclosed in the specification nor disclosed in the drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robell (5842280) in view of Durr (6460841).
 - a. Rodell discloses portable tool having a sheet (fig.1) and an integrally formed scale (fig.1).
 - b. Rodell does not disclose high density polyethylene; an integrally formed handle; and a sheet with a thickness of less than two inches, a width of less than two feet and a height of less than four feet.
 - c. Durr discloses a portable tool having a sheet made out of polyethylene with an integrally formed handle which provide a means of making and carrying

Application/Control Number: 10/790,839

Art Unit: 3723

the tool. It would be a matter of design choice and mechanical expedience to have made a tool having a thickness of less than two inches, a width of less than two feet and a height of less than four feet because one skill in the art could have chosen those dimension based upon the intended use.

Page 4

- d. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to have modified the Rodell device by providing a handle, a polyethylene material and a thickness of less than two inches, a width of less than two feet and a height of less than four feet as the dimensions as taught by Durr and design choice which would provide a hand carry tool made of plastic used to measure materials.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kroenke (5386654) in view of Durr (6460841).
 - e. Kroenke discloses portable tool having a sheet (fig.1), an integrally formed handle (10) and an integrally formed scale (fig.1).
 - f. Kroenke does not disclose high density polyethylene and a sheet with a thickness of less than two inches, a width of less than two feet and a height of less than four feet.
 - g. Durr discloses a portable tool having a sheet made out of polyethylene with an integrally formed handle which provide a means of making and carrying the tool. It would be a matter of design choice and mechanical expedience to have made a tool having a thickness of less than two inches, a width of less than two feet and a height of less than four feet because one skill in the art could have chosen those dimension based upon the intended use.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,839 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

h. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to have modified

the Kroenke device by providing a polyethylene material and a thickness of less

than two inches, a width of less than two feet and a height of less than four feet

as the dimensions as taught by Durr and design choice which would provide a

plastic material and dimesions for the tool.

Conclusion

4. No art could be applied to claims 3, 6, and 8 because it was not clear what

the elements are so in view of In re Steele. No art has been applied.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Battaglia, Kegley, and Murray Jr disclose an invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to LEE D WILSON whose telephone number is 703-305-

4094. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

A 11 - 41 - 40 - 4 - 1 A 1

Application/Control Number: 10/790,839

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

July 8, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6